VEXATIOUS LITIGANT OUTLAWRY:

Firstly, what is a "*vexatious litigant*"? A "*litigant*" is "*a person engaged in a lawsuit or dispute*", and to be "*vexatious*" is to be "*troubling, disturbing, or irritating*". Therefore, this mischievous individual …. in this case, little old whistle-blowing me..…… has been deemed by these cheeky chappies, in their wigs and gowns, to be a teazy troublesome. Bouvier's Law Dictionary actually says that "*a vexatictus suit is one which has been instituted maliciously, and without probable cause, whereby a damage has ensued to the defendant*". After all, my suits are practically all with corporate and statutory entities such as Banks, the State of New South Wales, Judges, etc…. the poor delicate things.

What is "*outlawry*"? Magna Carta says that "*No free man shall be…. outlawed ….unless by the lawful judgement of his equals which is the law of the land*". One dictionary on the Internet says the term "*Originated as the community's way of dealing with a violent or dangerous wrongdoer. A declaration of outlawry deprived the outlaw of the protection of the king and the law; his property was forfeit to the king and he could be killed with impunity*".

So, how does one become a "vexatious litigant" in a New South Wales court? Let's look at the legislation, starting with our NSW Coat of Arms displaying the heraldic lion of the British Empire, ie:-

All very "Australia - the Concealed Colony' (Ian Henke's book) stuff.

Now, what does it say on the Supreme Court of New South Wales website, such as:-

Vexatious proceedings

What are vexatious proceedings?

Anyone who frequently and persistently takes legal action without reasonable grounds or for improper purposes can be subjected to a vexatious proceedings order under the *Vexatious Proceedings Act 2008* (the Act).

Section 6 of the Act defines vexatious proceedings:

(a) proceedings that are an abuse of the process of a court or tribunal, and

(b) proceedings instituted to harass or annoy, to cause delay or detriment, or for another wrongful purpose, and

(c) proceedings instituted or pursued without reasonable ground, and

(d) proceedings conducted in a way so as to harass or annoy, cause delay or detriment, or achieve another wrongful purpose.

All this seems rather grand. However, Outlawry is banned in Australia, as it should, and there is even a Tasmanian Act of Parliament, to wit:

CRIMINAL CODE ACT 1924 - SECT 11

11. Outlawry, attaint, and forfeiture abolished

(1) After the passing of this Act no proceedings in outlawry shall be taken, and no judgment of outlawry shall be pronounced, against any person.

(2) No confession, verdict, inquest, conviction, or judgment of or for any treason, felony, or other crime, shall hereafter cause any attainder or corruption of blood or any forfeiture or escheat other than any fine or penalty imposed by the sentence of the Court.

How do these particular Jackals get away with it? It's all bluff and thuggery, of course. When they conducted their atrocity on me, back in 2010, preliminarily they started with making a presentation of six large folders of some 2200 pages of court documents (see photo).



It should be noted that NONE… not one of these case had the benefit of a J-U-R-Y, for example:

- John Wilson –v- St. George Bank, NSW Supreme Court, Case No: 20680/96, to sever variable interest rate component from a contract to keep contract viable otherwise the contract is void for uncertainty. Master Greenwood summarily dismissed my Statement of Claim without my consent to be without a jury.
- John Wilson –v- St. George Bank, NSW Supreme Court, Case No: 20680/96, Notice of Appeal from

Master to Judge, against Master Greenwood's judgement that variable interest rates were "indeed certain", contrary to the Oxford English Dictionary's definition that "variable" means "uncertain." Hamilton J summarily dismissed my appeal without my consent to be without a jury.

- John Wilson –v- St George Bank, NSW Court of Appeal, Case No: 40592/96, against Master Greenwood's judgement that variable interest rates were "indeed certain", contrary to the Oxford English Dictionary's definition that "variable" means "uncertain." Clarke JA and Abadee AJA summarily dismissed my appeal without my consent to be without a jury.
- John Wilson –v- St. George Bank, High Court of Australia, Case No: S190/96, against Clarke JA and Abadee AJA agreeing with Master Greenwood's judgement that variable interest rates were "indeed certain", contrary to the Oxford English Dictionary's definition that "variable" means "uncertain." Dawson J, Toohey J, and Kirby J summarily dismissed my application for leave to appeal without my consent to be without a jury.
- John Wilson –v- ACP Publishing, NSW Supreme Court, Case No: 20587/97, for defamation. Levine J dismissed the Statement of Claim and denied me my right to trial by jury.
- John Wilson –v- Terence Greenwood,The Hon Mr Justice John Hamilton, Hon. M. Clarke, The Hon Justice Abadee, RFB, The Hon Sir Daryl Dawson, The Hon Justice Michael Kirby, and The Hon Justice John Toohey, NSW Supreme Court, Case No: 20723/97, for perverting the course of justice, concealing fraud, and denying me my right to trial by jury. Barr J dismissed the Statement of Claim and denied me my right to trial by jury.
- John Wilson –v- The Prothonotary, NSW Court of Appeal, Case No: 40800/97, for being denied my right to trial by jury by Studdert J in the NSW Supreme Court on 17 November 1997On 6 July

1998, Registrar Jupp summarily dismissed by appeal and denied me my right to trial by jury.

- John Wilson –v- The Prothonotary, NSW Court of Appeal, Case No: 40127/98, for again being denied my right to trial by jury by Hidden J in the NSW Supreme Court on 3 December 1998. On 24 August 1998, Handley JA and Stein JA, summarily dismissed my appeal and denied me my right to trial by jury.
- John Wilson –v- The Prothonotary, High Court of Australia, Case No: S127/1998, against Handley JA and Stein JA, summarily dismissed my appeal and denied me my right to trial by jury. On 16 April 1999, Gaudron J and Callinan J summarily dismissed my appeal and denied me my right to trial by jury.
- John Wilson –v- St. George Bank, NSW Supreme Court, Case No: 20100/99, for illegal loan contract because of the bank fraudulently creating money out of thin air and my being forced to sign, ie: under duress. On 6 May 1999, Bell J. summarily dismissed my Statement of Claim and denied me my right to trial by jury.
- John Wilson –v- The Prothonotary, NSW Court of Appeal, Case No: 40731/99, against Scully J in the NSW Supreme Court on 6 September 1999, dismissing my requisition for trial by jury. On 23 February 2000, Shellar JA dismissed my appeal and denied me my right to trial by jury.
- John Wilson-v- The Prothonotary, NSW Court of Appeal, Case No: 40928/99, against Wood CJ at CL on 9 November 1999 summarily judging me and imprisoning me for two years without parole without my consent to be without a jury. On 29 February 2000, Heydon JA and Shellar JA released me form prison but did not quash the conviction inspite of my being denied my right to trial by jury, and Meagher JA disagreed with Heydon JA and Sheller JA.
- John Wilson –v- State of New South Wales, NSW Supreme Court, Case No: 20137/00, for unlawful imprisonment. On 12 December 2000 Sully J.

summarily dismissed my Statement of Claim and denied me my right to trial by jury. Also, on 4 February 2002, Hidden J summarily dismissed my Notice of Motion for an injunction against the Order of Sully J, made on 12 December 2000 and entered on 03 October 2001, and denied me my right to trial by jury.

- John Wilson-v-v State of New South Wales, NSW Supreme Court, Case No: 20653/00, for Judicial Officers acting in their own cause. On 19 July 2001, Adams J summarily dismissed my Statement of Claim and denied me my right to trial by jury. Also, on 8 October, Dunford J summarily dismissed my submissions filed on 31 August 2001 and denied me my right to trial by jury.
- John Wilson-v- State of New South Wales, NSW Court of Appeal, Case No: 41074/2000 against judgment of Sully J in Case No 20137/00. On 7 May 2001, Meagher JA and Handley JA summarily dismissed my Appeal and denied me my right to trial by jury.
- John Wilson –v- St George Bank and State of New South Wales, Case No: 20714/00, for bank contract fraud, the wrongful summary judgment by Simpson J on 4 August 1999, and the vicarious liability of the Crown. On 19 July 2000, Adams J summarily dismissed my Notice of Motion and denied me my right to trial by jury. Also, on 26 February 2001, Hulme J summarily dismissed a further Notice of Motion following his own summary dismissal for an injunction and denying me my right to trial by jury. Hulme J was against me 28 February 2000, 28 December 2000 and 29 December 2000., as was Kirby J on 4 May 2001, ie: summarily dismissing my Notice of motion for trial by jury for Cases numbered 20714/00 and 20653/00.
- John Wilson –v- State of New South Wales, High Court of Australia, Case No: S110 of 2001, against judgments of Handley JA and Meagher JA on 7 May 2001 in CA40928/99. On 23 November 2001,

McHugh J and Callinan J summarily dismissed my Appeal and denied me my right to trial by jury.

- John Wilson –v-v St George Bank and State of New South Wales, NSW Court of Appeal, Case No: 40579 of 2001, against the judgment of Adams J on 19 July 2001. On 16 November 2001, Priestley JA and Stein JA summarily dismissed my Appeal and denied me my right to trial by jury.
- John Wilson –v- St George Bank and State of New South Wales, High Court of Australia, Case No: S284 of 2001 against , Priestley JA and Stein JA summarily dismissing my Appeal and denied me my right to trial by jury.
- John Wilson –v- The Hon Robert John Carr, NSW Supreme Court, Case No: 10088/02, for treason and treachery. On 18 February 2002, Grove J summarily dismissed my Summons and denied me my right to trial by jury.
- John Wilson –v- Deputy Commissioner of Taxation, NSW Court of Appeal, Case No: 40398 of 2002, against the summary judgment of Delaney J in the District Court of New South Wales at Parramatta and his denying me my right to trial by jury. On 30 September 2002, Handley JA and Hodgson Ja summarily dismissed my Appeal and denied me my right to trial by jury.
- John Wilson-v- Deputy Commissioner of Taxation, High Court of Australia, Case No: S368 of 2002. On 3 October 2003, Kirby J and Heydon J summarily dismissed my Appeal and denied me my right to trial by jury.
- John Wilson-v- State Electoral Office, NSW Supreme Court, Case No: 12884 of 2003, against a judgment by Magistrate Garbett in the Downing Center Local Court that elections of members of Parliament are not free and I am not free to choose whether to vote or not. On 17 March 2004, Adams j summarily dismissed my Summons and denied me my right to trial by jury.

- John Wilson-v- Dental Board of New South Wales and State of New South Wales, NSW Supreme Court, Case No: 20395/04, against the Dental Board of New South Wales taking on the role of a Court when it is only a statutory entity. On 9 March 2005, Simpson J summarily dismissed my statement of Claim and denied me my right to trial by jury.
- John Wilson –v- State Debt Recovery Office and State of New South Wales, NSW Supreme Court, Case No: 21128/06, against Magistrate Betts in the District Court of New South Wales at Parramatta on 19 October 2005, summarily judging against me, denying me my right to trial by jury.
- John Wilson –v- Crown Solicitor, NSW Supreme Court, Case No: 20258/06, for the Crown Solicitor making itself a party to the illegal proceedings conducted by Simpson J in Case No 20395/04 on 9 March 2005, and demanding monies from me for "Costs". On 24 July 2006, Adams J summarily dismissed my Statement of Claim and denied me my right to trial by jury.
- John Wilson-v- NSW Sheriff and state of new South Wales, NSW Supreme Court, Case No: 20310/06, against the NSW Sheriff sending me a letter threatening to trespass upon my property and unlawfully seize and sell my property and against the Crown for being vicariously liable for such an offence. On 19 September 2006, Adams J summarily dismissed my Statement of Claim and denied me my right to trial by jury.
- John Wilson –v- State Debt Recovery Office and State of New South Wales, NSW Court of Appeal, Case No: 40497 of 2006, appealing against the judgement of Adams J on 24 July 2006. On 4 April 2007, Mason P and Tobias JA summarily dismissed my Appeal and denied me my right to trial by jury.
- John Wilson –v- G.I.O. Limited & Local Court of NSW & Parliament of NSW, Case No: 14247/07, for Magistrate Culver in the Local Court of NSW at North Sydney, on 20 August 2007, summarily

judging against me and denting me my right to trial by jury, and for the Parliament of NSW being liable for implementing laws that deprive freemen of their rights. On 14 December 2007, Harrison AJ summarily dismissed my Summons and denied me my right to trial by jury.

- John Wilson –v- John Hatzistergos & Ian Knight, NSW Supreme Court, Case No: 20354/07, for fraud and threatening to act unlawfully with regard to imposing censorship. On 4 March 2008, Adams j summarily dismissed my statement of Claim and denied me my right to trial by jury.
- John Wilson –v- State of New South Wales, NSW Supreme Court, Case No: 14646/08, for false imprisonment of my self. On 11 May 2009, Adams J summarily dismissed my Summons and denied me my right to trial by jury.
- John Wilson –v- R, Court of Criminal Appeal, Case No: 2099/00059266-005, to Appeal against Armitage J in the District Court of NSW at Parramatta misleading and the jury and perverting the course of justice at the trial of the Indictment of THE QUEEN against JOHN WILSON.
- John Wilson-v- The Attorney General of New South Wales, NSW Court of Appeal, Case No: 2010/49922-002, appealing against Davies J summarily convicting me to deprive me of my civil and political rights and denying me my right to trial by jury.

PLEASE NOTE: The above are only me filing and seeking and being denied justice. Being denied my right to a jury was far more devastating when I was the defendant, ie: when corporate and statutory entities such as banks and the State of New South Wales and the Commonwealth of Australia, eg: Attorney Generals and Revenue Departments, etc. Imprisoning, bankrupting, concealing fraud and treason are easy when juries are dispensed with.

Here, the Plaintiff was the Attorney General of NSW in their, laughingly called, "JURISDICTION: COMMON LAW" on 15 July 2010 with the "Judge alone JUDGEMENT published on 23 September 2010 by "The

Honourable Justice" David Davies. He typically disregarded my Challenge to the Jurisdiction of the Court and came up with the following:

"DECISION: (1) Pursuant to s 8(7)(b) Vexatious Proceedings Act 2008 John Wilson is prohibited from instituting proceedings in New South Wales other than with leave of an appropriate court under that Act. (2) Any legal proceedings instituted by John Wilson in any court or tribunal in New South Wales before the date of this order are hereby stayed. (3) Order that John Wilson is not to be allowed to file and is hereby restrained from filing and also from serving any Notice of Motion in any proceedings currently before any court or tribunal in New South Wales, and is not to be allowed to make and is hereby restrained from making any oral application in such proceedings without the leave of a judge of an appropriate court under that Act. (4) The Defendant is to pay the Plaintiff s costs of the proceedings."

This is unmitigated OUTLAWRY, SLAVERY and MALICIOUS DEFAMATION. They are saying, "*How dare you.We are so all powerful, all untouchable, all clever, all in control of this country and whatever riff-raff dare question us, that we will dispatch, dispossess and disenfranchise anyone we please. The law is ours. Get down on your knees, you annoying little peasant!*"

I take solace in a famous quote from Mahatma Gandhi that goes, "*First, they ignore you. Then, they laugh at you. Then, they fight you. And then, you win.*" The flexing of their muscles is, I suppose, the "*they fight you*" stage.

Oh yes. They will rule for as long as good men do nothing. With this coming of a "No Cash" society and having a totally digitalized monetary system coupled with no right to trial by jury, they can garnishee and empty your bank account any time they like. However and ironically, should any of the lower ranking Jackals fall out of favour or do anything honourable, it could happen to them, as well. How about that?!

-oOo-