

## Unconstitutional Secular Courts:

Dear Archbishop Tony Fisher and Fellow Freedom Fighters,

On Monday the 11<sup>th</sup> day of MAY 2026 in the Parramatta District Court of New South Wales in *Wilson v Sheriff 366 and State of NSW*, Case number: 2026/00077212, His Honour Robert Newlinds SC DCJ said, "This is a secular court." in response to my telling him, "This where our laws come from -- the Holy Bible."

Robert Newlinds was sworn in as a District Court of New South Wales Judge on Monday 29 MAY 2023 with the "Commission read" and the "Oaths of office taken". The Chief Judge, the Honourable Derek Price AM, the said, "The oaths will be placed in the Court archives and the bible will be suitably inscribed and presented to you, Judge Newlinds as a memento of this occasion."

That Judicial Oath in 2023 would have said, "I, ..... , do swear that I will well and truly serve our Sovereign Lady Queen Victoria in the office of ..... , and I will do right to all manner of people after the laws and usages of the State of New South Wales without fear or favour, affection or ill-will. So help me God." -- is that not so?

So, here we have Robert Newlinds SC DCJ praying "So help me God" to help him "do right", on one hand, and declaring that the court, in which he is presiding as a Judge, "is a secular court"!

Isn't that "going back on his word" in a rather spectacular way?

Actually, it's much more than that. Those words plus his "acting unfairly, dishonestly, disregarding legal rights and legal procedures" during that whole session, that morning, were a perfect example of what is a Kangaroo Court!

But wait! There's more! That court was rendered Unconstitutional!

Unconstitutional because violates the rules, powers, or fundamental rights outlined in the nation's constitution. A constitution is "a body of principles, laws and customs that govern a country".

We will start with the "Australian Constitution Act 1900" which is "(63 & 64 VICTORIA, CHAPTER 12) An Act to constitute the Commonwealth of Australia [9<sup>th</sup> July 1900]" and begins with "WHEREAS the people of New South Wales, Victoria, South Australia, Queensland, and Tasmania, humbly relying on the blessing of Almighty God, have agreed to unite in one indissoluble Federal Commonwealth under the Crown of the United Kingdom of Great Britain and Ireland, and under the Constitution hereby established:.....".

Australia was transformed from a collection of British Colonies (established in 1788) into an independent, self-governing nation .... a Commonwealth. A "commonwealth" is "a community founded on law, where supreme authority is vested in the people and governed for the common good" and cannot be "under the Crown" of any other country -- it follows, therefore, that "61. Executive power. The executive power of the Commonwealth is vested in the Queen and is exercisable by the Governor-General as the Queen's representative, and extends to the execution and maintenance of this Constitution, and of the laws of the Commonwealth" is wholly invalid.

The "body of principles, laws and customs that govern" did not change. Australia retained a country the principles, laws and customs of a Christian country with a Christian system for the Administration as part-and-parcel of our heritage. This is made clear and unarguable in that Preamble to the "Australian Constitution Act 1900" by the words, "humbly relying on the blessing of Almighty God".

I point out the following definitive words:-

- **relying:** depending on, trusting, or counting on someone or something for support, help, or information; needing something to function or succeed.
- **blessing:** the invocation of divine favor, protection, or grace upon someone; a favor or gift bestowed by God, thereby bringing happiness.
- **Almighty God:** the supreme, all-powerful creator and ruler of the universe. In monotheistic religions like Judaism, Christianity, and Islam, it denotes a deity characterized by omnipotence (all-powerful), omniscience (all-knowing), and omnipresence (all-present), who is the ultimate source of all moral authority and existence.

It took 3 referendums in the 1890's before the Draft of the Bill for that U.K. Act of Parliament received the necessary majority of voters in favour for it to go to Westminster and then to Queen Vic. This was because the people of NSW, etc., did not want to lose the protection of their lives, liberties or property guaranteed and instilled in the Coronation Oath when a king or queen is crowned back in England. One of the promises made by the king or queen was and still is: "Will you to your power cause Law and Justice, in Mercy, to be executed in all your judgements?" And, for many years the final Court of Appeal was still the Privy Council, ie: the Queen-in-Council.

Again and whether it's now or then, People want the security of a system that will protect them and, whether it's now or then, People see the Coronation/Ordination of the king or queen when he or she is told, "Sir, to keep you ever mindful of the law and the Gospel of God as the Rule for the whole life and government of Christian Princes, receive this Book, the most valuable thing that this world affords. Here is Wisdom; this is the royal Law; these are the lively Oracles of God." and is asked, "Will you to the utmost of your power maintain the Laws of God and the true profession of the Gospel..etc?" and he or she replies, "All this I promise to do."

The dilemma of Australia being a "Commonwealth" or a "Constitutional Monarchy" will go on until a genuine unambiguous written Constitution can be drawn up and approved by a Referendum. But irrespective of that actually happening, the ongoing most strenuously held fervour of the People is for Justice and Freedom with, to borrow a caption from our American friends, Constitutional Sheriffs and Peace Officers to uphold the integrity of and the lawful judgements from Australian courts.

Is it only Bobby Newlinds who has got it wrong? Certainly not!

Why is it that the entire Australian Judiciary have got it wrong?

Every year, there is what is called the "Commencement of the Law Term" with religious ceremonies conducted, for example in Sydney, at the St Mary's Cathedral, St James' Anglican Church, St Patrick's Cathedral, Lakemba Mosque, Armenian Apostolic Church of Holy Resurrection, St Mary & St Mina Coptic Orthodox Cathedral, Greek Orthodox Cathedral of the Annunciation of Our Lady, and The Great Synagogue. Here is a happy snap of one get together:



The very foundation of our Common Law is Magna Carta which was written by clergymen and lay people in the Church of St. Edmunds to be sealed by King John at Runnymede on Monday, 15<sup>th</sup> of June 1215. It begins with: “KNOW THAT BEFORE GOD, for the health of our soul and those of our ancestors and heirs, to the honour of God, the exaltation of the holy Church, and the better ordering of our kingdom, at the advice of our reverend fathers Stephen, archbishop of Canterbury, primate of all England, and cardinal of the holy Roman Church, Henry archbishop of Dublin, William bishop of London, Peter bishop of Winchester, Jocelin bishop of Bath and Glastonbury, Hugh bishop of Lincoln, Walter bishop of Worcester, William bishop of Coventry, Benedict bishop of Rochester, Master Pandulf subdeacon and member of the papal household, Brother Aymeric master of the knighthood of the Temple in England, William Marshal earl of Pembroke, William earl of Salisbury, William earl of Warren, William earl of Arundel, Alan of Galloway constable of Scotland, Warin fitz Gerald, Peter fitz Herbert, Hubert de Burgh seneschal of Poitou, Hugh de Neville, Matthew fitz Herbert, Thomas Basset, Alan Basset, Philip Daubeny, Robert de Roppeley, John Marshal, John fitz Hugh, and other loyal subjects:...etc.”

The Magna Carta is foundational constitutional law. Signed in 1215, it established the principle that everyone—even the monarch—is subject to the law. It is considered a cornerstone of constitutional government in common law systems, including the UK, the US, and Australia.

We even have a glorious structure in a beautiful garden area in front of the Federal Parliament Houses (new and old) in Canberra called the Magna Carta Memorial. Here is a photo of it:



One of the plaques on the wall says: “Magna carta is now seen as a traditional mandate for trial by jury, justice for all, accountable government and no arbitrary imprisonment.”.

So, every way we look at it, Bobby Newlinds and the entire Australian Judiciary are wrong! And the results are obvious ..... massive injustice imposed by delinquent (to put it mildly) Judges and Magistrates with delinquent (not knowing what they are doing) Sheriffs “just following orders”, to boot!

The one-liner on this occasion is: SECULAR COURTS ARE UNCONSTITUTIONAL!

Yours sincerely,  
John Wilson.

Website: [juriesrus.com](http://juriesrus.com)

P.S.: Is the Australian Catholic Anti-Slavery Network (ACAN) fair dinkum?

## **Oaths Act 1900 No 20**

### **Fourth Schedule Judicial oath**

(Section 5)

“I, ..... , do swear that I will well and truly serve our Sovereign Lady Queen Victoria in the office of ..... , and I will do right to all manner of people after the laws and usages of the State of New South Wales without fear or favour, affection or ill-will. So help me God.”